	POSITION EVALUATIO	N FACTORS	
Fact	or 1 - SCOPE AND IMPA	ACT OF POSITION	MAJOR CRITERIA FOR COMPARING POSITIONS
RESPONSIBILITIES TO CONSIDER (And Illustrations) 1			The actual or estimated total dollar scope of the
Income production Developing sales and service revenues Developing new products or markets Controlling operating costs (materials, manpower, services) Purchasing at favorable prices Optimizing use of capital funds	Line Number of employees Diversity of their work and level of skills required Dollar size of payroll	"	responsibility 2. The extent to which a position can influence results in the area of responsibility 3. Importance to the company of a particular product or product line in terms of profit contribution 4. Rapidity with which changes occur in the market or in manufacturing processes (technological advances, equipment obsolescence, etc.) 5. The degree and magnitude of risks involved 6. Impact on Company or division performance as a result of personnel management responsibilities
		LOCIONED TACKS	
		ASSIGNED TASKS	MAJOR CRITERIA FOR COMPARING POSITIONS
		4. Decision making Pricing Changing product mix Establishing or revising personnel policies Hiring, terminating, or transferring personnel Defining limits in union negotiations Constructing new facilities Approving capital expenditures	1. Number and complexity of elements or conditions that must be anticipated and provided for 2. Whether the activity involves the application of well-known principles, or involves extending the present limits of knowledge and experience in a particular area 3. Recognized difficulty of the work to be done 4. The extent to which CE is committed by a decision that is made; the extent to which the decision is nonroutine or complicated in character 5. The impact of a decision on divisional or corporate performance, short and long term 6. The role of the incumbent in making a decision and the extent to which he is accountable for results of that decision
Fact	or 3 - REQUIRED KNOWL	EDGE AND SKILLS	MAJOR CRITERIA FOR COMPARING POSITIONS
TYPES TO CONSID 2. Formal training in A technical area — Chemical engineering — Mechanical engineering — Chemistry — Metallurgy — Industrial engineering • A profession — Accounting — Law • A business skill — Purchasing	3. Business Knowledge of Market intelligence (share of market, pricing strategy, customer needs) Industry economics Competitive rends Exposure to Corporate or fivisional objectives Company policies	4. Managing and persuading Directing subordinates through Planning Organizing Developing Appraising Influencing others to act in Labor negotiations Selling Purchasing Interdivisional or interfunctional activities	1. The depth of specialized experience the position requires 2. The type and extent of formal education or training required 3. The amount of business know-how needed to apply these knowledges and skills 4. The level of managerial or administrative skills required 5. The type of persuasive or negotiating skills required 6. The importance of these skills to the accomplishment of the tasks assigned to the position, and the level of competence required
	RESPONSIBILITIES TO CONSID Income production Developing sales and service revenues Developing new products or markets Controlling operating costs (materials, manpower, services) Purchasing at favorable prices Optimizing use of capital funds Fac ACTIVITIES TO CONSID Problem solving Interpreting repetitive cost data Analyzing control reports Determining optimum prices Deciding on plant or warehouse locations Estimating unit cost or demand of proposed product Expanding or contracting Company activities Determining priorities among research and engineering projects Fact Types To Consider types of Considering in A technical area — Chemical engineering — Mechanical engineering — Mechanical engineering — Chemistry — Metallurgy — Industrial engineering — A profession — Accounting — Law A business skill	Factor 1 - SCOPE AND IMPA RESPONSIBILITIES TO CONSIDER (And Illustrations)	Income production Developing sales and service revenues Developing new products or markets Controlling operating costs (materials, manpower, services) Purchasing at favorable prices Optimizing use of capital funds Dellar size of payroll

GUIDELINES FOR DEVELOPING POSITION DESCRIPTIONS

Descriptions will be prepared to outline the most important aspects of each position — that is, the description should contain information which distinguishes the position from other positions and provides a sound basis for evaluation. Each description should include the following

1. PRINCIPAL DUTIES

- Description of the principal responsibilities
- The positions (excluding direct subordinates) with whom the incumbent must work in carrying out each duty
- The approximate percentage of time required by each activity
- Any special duties that are an important requirement of the position, but that are performed only occasionally or as special assignments

2. SCOPE AND IMPACT

- The applicable dollar figures which portray how big the job is and its total possible impact on Company success — i.e.
 - a. Operating revenue or profit generated
 - b. Assets controlled
 - c. Personnel supervised

3. COMPLEXITY OF TASKS

- How difficult the job is described in terms of the kind of decisions which the incumbent must make or participate in making — for example
 - a. Independent decisions for which he alone is responsible
 - b. Shared decisions made as a member of a committee
 - c. Recommendations which require approval of a higher authority before they are implemented

4. EDUCATION, EXPERIENCE, AND SPECIALIZED SKILLS REQUIRED

 Any knowledge, special preparation, or unique skills required to discharge the responsibilities of the position (only the minimum knowledge and experience required to perform the job satisfactorily should be indicated)

Approved For Release 2001/09/05 : CIA-RDP81-00261R0001000**300**ብርያል ${f z}^{T}$ ${f IV}$

CE EXEMPT SALARY STRUCTURE

	•		ANNUAL SALARY	RANGE	
			(Midpoint)		Maximum
Grade	Minimum		\$59,600	\$64,000	\$72,700
18	\$46,600	\$55,300	51,700	55,300	62,400
17	41,100	48,200	46,100	49,300	55,700
16	36,500	42,900		43,300	48,800
15	32,300	37,800	40,600	38,400	43,400
14	28,200	33,300	35,800	33,800	38,200
13	24,900	29,300	31,600	30,100	34,000
12	22,200	26,100	28,100	26,400	29,800
11	19,400	22,900	24,600	22,900	25,700
10	17,100	20,000	21,400		22,600
9	15,500	17,900	19,000	20,300	20,200
8	14,000	16,100	17,100	18,200	18,000
	12,700	14,500	15,400	16,300	16,100
.7	11,500	13,000	13,800	14,600	
6	10,300	11,600	12,300	13,000	14,300
5	9,400	10,500	11,000	11,600	12,700
4 .	8,400	9,500	10,000	10,600	11,600
3	7,600	8,500	8,900	9,400	10,300
· 2	6,900	7,800	8,200	8,700	9,500
1	Low Thi	er	Middle Third		Upper Third

February 1, 1974

Approved For Release 2001/09/05 : CIA RDP8/11/09/261/R000100030013-8 CE INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN SALEMAN (1998)

ANNUAL SALARY RANGE

	,	Wi	NUAL SKEAKT IVE		Maximum_	Bonus Guide- line as a Percentage of Salary
Grade	Minimum		Midpoint	\$230,300	\$266,200	50%
E-31	\$161,400	\$195,300	\$213,800	202,900	233,600	50
E-30	141,600	172,300	187,600		204,000	45
E-29	124,700	151,100	164,400	177,500	ŕ	45
E-28	110,200	132,900	144,300	155,000	178,400	
E-27	97 , 90 0	116,900	126,400	135,900	154,900	45
E-26	88,90 0	106,400	115,200	123,900	141,500	40
	78,900	94,200	101,800	109,500	124,700	40
E-25	•	82,600	89,500	96,400	110,200	40
E-24	68,800	75,500	81,600	87,800	100,000	35
E-23	63,200		71,600	77,000	87,800	35
E-22	55,400	66,200	63,700	68,300	77,700	35
E-21	49,700	59,000	58,200	62,500	71,000	30
E-20	45,300	53,900		55,300	63,200	30
E-19	39,700	47,500	51,400	49,200	56,000	30
E-18	35,800	42,500	45,900	44,400	50,300	25
E-17	32,400	38,400	41,300	•	44,700	25
E-16	29,100	, 34,300	36,900	39,500	39,100	25
E-15	25,700	30,200	32,400	34,700	·	20
E-14	23,500	27,800	30,000	32,100	36,400	20
E-13	20,700	24,400	26,300	28,100	31,900	
E-12	18,400	21,800	23,500	25,200	28,500	20
C-12	\					1
	Lowe Thir		Middle Third		Upper Third	

EXEMPT POSITION DESCRIPTION			
Position is: New Changed	Date		
Title Division Unit or Department	Exemption Status Executive Professional Administrative Outside Sales		
Reports toTITLE PRINCIPAL DUTIES			

SCOPE AND IMPACT (As applicable) Sales/service volume \$ Other income	
Costs or expenses supervised \$ Description Description	_
Personnel: Total number of employees reporting directly or through subordinates	
Personnel: Total number of employees reporting	
Positions reporting directly	
COMPLEXITY OF TASKS	

COMPLEXITY OF TASKS

EDUCATION EXPERIENCE, AND SPECIAL SKILLS REQUIRED

EXECUTY POSITION DESCR	IPTION 2-18			
Foolition is: New Content X	Date May 15, 1973 Grada Assignment			
Title Vice President Legal and Administration [Nylelon Lummus Corporate Unit or Department Executive	Exemption Status Executive © Professional □ Administrative □ Outside Sales □			
PRINCIPAL DUTIES Directs overall operation of the Corporate Secretary, the Legal Department, the Patent & Licensing Department and the Administrative Services Department. Advises the President and corporate officers, profit and service centers on matters of legal nature and of general administration. Develops and establishes legal policies, practices and procedures for The Lummus Company & its subsidiaries including the review and approval of all contractual documents, protection of proprietary assets, compliance with applicable laws.				
Provide direction over the establishment of general policy, plans and programs of an administrative nature for The Lummus and programs of an administrative nature for The Lummus Company and its subsidiaries including communications, company and its subsidiaries including or disposition of office services, the acquisition, leasing or disposition of office space or real property. Direct. the prosecution or defense of all claims by or against the				
Direct. the prosecution or defense of all Company or its subsidiaries. Insure that the division operates according to the philosophy of the Company and that all are met in a timely and conscientious in the conscientions.	e Management by Objectives requirements of this program			

Scien/service volume \$	Other income
Costs or expenses supervised \$ 3,000,000 Assets controlled \$ 7,000,000 approx.	Description Bloomfield Co. (a)
Personnel: Total number of employees reporting directly Corporate Positions reporting directly/Secretary, Staff Arabicans, Manager of Arabicans, Manager o	y or through subordinates 60 ttorneys, Manager of Patent & Administrative Services.
COMPLEXITY OF TASKS Analysis and decisions required	in complex legal problems having

Analysis and decisions required in complex legal problems having major impact upon the Company's business results and prospects.

DUCATION, EXPERIENCE, AND SPECIAL SKILLS REQUIRED

Degree in Engineering or Arts, Degree in Law, Admission to the Bar, and ten years or more Legal legal experience.

Administration - Ten years business experience.

AN IMPROVED APPROACH TO THE EVALUTAION OF POSITIONS

The Civil Service Commission is proposing to implement a new (Factor Ranking/Benchmark) approach to the evaluation of positions under the General Schedule grades 1 through 15. The commission is aiming at full government-wide implementation within 48 months or so.

The results of field testing show that employees, supervisors, and classifiers found the new approach a reasonable way to grade jobs and more understandable than the present method.

The factor ranking/benchmark approach to job evaluation is a hybrid. It applies a combination of three well-established techniques (whole job ranking, factor comparison, point rating) to the classification process. This approach was designed specifically for the Federal service. It is tailor-made for an organization with a large number and variety of positions.

In a fully implemented, ongoing, operational system, the steps in job evaluation would be to:

1. Describe the job in Benchmark format.

2. Compare the job to be graded with approved Benchmarks.

3. Match the job factor-by-factor with an approved Benchmark.

4. After the factors have been matched and point rated, the points would be added up and converted to a GS grade level.

Since the above approach will probably be implemented government-wide, there is little reason why we should not adopt it. To do so:

1. We will need to develop Benchmarks, particularly for occupations within the DDO and DDI areas.

2. We should seek the participation of Directorate officers in the development of Benchmarks.

3. We should seek the participation of Directorate-level officers in the adjudication of contested position grades.

4. We probably will <u>not</u> need the part or Directorate officers in conducting audits.

5. We will need to reduce the number scheduled.

ILLEGIB